

the light of the new ecumenical dialogue. Miss Phillip's discussion of Erasmus is charmingly informative, Grimm's urbane reaction to the Reformation quite factual, McDonough's Catholic attempt to get at the real Luther interesting, and Dainton's analysis of the Catholic-Protestant authority question penetrating, as far as it went, which was not far enough.

All in all, as an effort at showing real rapprochement of the two churches the book is a failure. No essay analyzes the doctrinal issues of the Reformation with enough thoroughness to show the original difference much less any genuine subsequent rapprochement. Once again we have a dialogue between Roman Catholics, who are not thinking as Reformation Roman Catholics and Protestants who are not thinking as Reformation Protestants. Even Melancthon, I think, would spew out this "rapprochement" and I know Calvin would and I am certain Luther would and Bellarmin too!

---

The 22nd Annual Meeting

of the

Evangelical Theological Society

Monday—Wednesday, Dec. 28-30, 1970

at

Westmont College      Santa Barbara, California

Theme: Faith and History

Papers and a panel on the above theme with John Montgomery, Carl Henry, Merrill Tenney, Gordon Clark and others as participants.

A special session with representatives of the Interreligious Affairs Department of the American Jewish Committee will discuss Israel from the perspective of history and modern times.

For further information, write to:

Harold Lindsell

CHRISTIANITY TODAY

1014 Washington Bldg.

Washington, D.C. 20005